

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,904	12/14/2001	Pete A. Hawkins	2207/13516	4204
7590 07/24/2006			EXAMINER	
KENNETH J. COOL BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			PATEL, NIMESH G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/014,904	HAWKINS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nimesh G. Patel	2112			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Mi	ay 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,7-10 and 12-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-15 and 23-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,7-10 and 16-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		_			
Attachment(s)	. .	(070, 440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Intèrview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 17, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 6-8 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stepp,III(6,487,463).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Stepp discloses a first set of field replaceable units each being of a first type(Figure 3, 314); a second set of field replaceable units each being of a second type(Figure 3, 316); a first management bus(Figure 3, Interconnect between 314 and 320), directly coupled to each of the first set of field replaceable units, wherein the first management bus is coupled only to field replicable units of the first type; a second management bus(Figure 3, Interconnect between 316 and 320), directly coupled to each of the second set of field replaceable units, wherein the second management bus is coupled only to field replicable units

Application/Control Number: 10/014,904 Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

of the second type; and a central management agent(Figure 3, 320) coupled to the first management bus and the second management bus, to monitor each of the first and second sets of field replaceable units and transmit signals to control each of the first and second sets of field replaceable units(Column 6, Lines 14-19); and a communication link, coupled to the central management agent, to transmit signals received from the central management agent indicating a failure of one or more of the first set of field replaceable units(Column 6, Lines 61-62).

- 5. Regarding claim 7, Stepp discloses a system, wherein the central management agent is a processor(Column 6, Lines 20-21).
- Regarding claim 16, Stepp discloses a system comprising: two or more temperature sensors(Figure 3, 314); a first management bus(Figure 3, Interconnect between 314 and 320), directly coupled to each of the two or more temperature sensors, wherein the first management bus is coupled only to temperature sensors; two or more fan trays(Figure 3, 316); a second management bus(Figure 3, Interconnect between 316 and 320) directly coupled to each of the two or more fan trays, wherein the second management bus is coupled only to fan trays; and a central management agent(Figure 3, 320), coupled to the first management bus and the second management bus, to monitor the temperature sensors and the fan trays, and to transmit signals to control activation of one or more fan trays based upon signals received from the one of the temperature sensors(Column 6, Lines 14-19).
- 7. Regarding claim 17, Stepp discloses a system, wherein the system further comprises a central processing unit coupled to the central management agent(Figure 3, 304).
- 8. Regarding claim 18, Stepp discloses a system, wherein the central management agent is an abstracting agent(Column 6, Lines 14-19).

Art Unit: 2112

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

- 10. Claims 4-5, 11 and 21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stepp.
- 11. Regarding claim 4, Stepp does not specifically disclose a system, wherein the first and second management buses are Inter-IC buses. However, Official Notice is being taken that the Inter-IC bus is a well known bus in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Inter-IC busses as the first and second management busses for the advantage that only two lines (clock and data) are required for full duplexed communication between multiple devices. The common knowledge or well known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice(see MPEP 2144.03(C)).
- Regarding claim 5, Stepp does not specifically disclose a system, wherein the system further comprises a second central management agent coupled to the first management bus. However, Official Notice is being taken that using a second central management is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a second central management agent for the advantage of having a redundant central management agent to take over in case the first management agent fails. The common knowledge or well known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice(see MPEP 2144.03(C)).
- 13. Regarding claim 11, Stepp does not specifically disclose a system, wherein the system further comprises a second central management agent coupled to the first management bus.

Art Unit: 2112

However, Official Notice is being taken that using a second central management is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a second central management agent for the advantage of having a redundant central management agent to take over in case the first management agent fails. The common knowledge or well known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice(see MPEP 2144.03(C)).

- 14. Regarding claim 21, Stepp does not specifically disclose a system, wherein the system further comprises a second central management agent coupled to the first management bus, to the second management bus and to the central management agent. However, Official Notice is being taken that using a second central management is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a second central management agent for the advantage of having a redundant central management agent to take over in case the first management agent fails. The common knowledge or well known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice(see MPEP 2144.03(C)).
- 15. Claims 8-10 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stepp, in view of Holland(5,367,669)
- 16. Regarding claim 8, Stepp discloses a system, wherein the first set of field replaceable units are temperature sensors(Figure 3, 314) but does not specifically disclose the second set of field replaceable units are temperature sensors. However, Holland discloses a set of field replaceable units that are power supplies(Figure 3, 120). It would have been obvious to use combine the power supply 302 of Stepp with a set of field replaceable units that are power supplies, as disclosed by Holland, for the advantage of having redundant power supplies on one

Art Unit: 2112

bus to take over in case the first power supply fails or to increase the amount of voltage provided to the system.

- 17. Regarding claim 9, Stepp does not specifically disclose a system, further comprising: a third management bus, coupled to the central management agent, to couple only to field replaceable units of a third type, and a third set of field replaceable units each being of the third type. However, Holland discloses a third management bus coupled to a third type of field replaceable units(Figure 3, 120). It would have been obvious to use a third management bus coupled to a third type of field replaceable units for the advantage of having redundant power supplies on one bus to take over in case the first power supply fails or to increase the amount of voltage provided to the system.
- 18. Regarding claim 10, Stepp and Holland disclose a system, wherein the third set of field replaceable units having the third type are fan trays(Figure 3, 316 and 130, respectively).
- 19. Regarding claim 19, Stepp discloses a system further comprising, one or more power supplies(Figure 3, 302). Stepp does not specifically disclose a third management bus coupled to the one or more power supplies and the central management agent, wherein the third management bus is coupled only to power supplies. However, Holland discloses a third management bus coupled to a third type of field replaceable units(Figure 3, 120), wherein the third management bus is coupled only to power supplies. It would have been obvious to use a third management bus coupled to a third type of field replaceable units for the advantage of having redundant power supplies on one bus to take over in case the first power supply fails or to increase the amount of voltage provided to the system.
- 20. Regarding claim 20, Stepp and Holland disclose a system, further comprising an external communication link coupled to the central management agent(Column 6, Lines 61-62, and Figure 3, 10, respectively).

Application/Control Number: 10/014,904 Page 7

Art Unit: 2112

21. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stepp, in view of Jewett et al.('251).

22. Regarding claim 22, Stepp does not specifically disclose a system further comprising a redundant first management bus coupled to the central management agent and coupled to each of the one or more temperature sensors, wherein the first management bus is not coupled to any of the other components. However, Jewett discloses a redundant first management bus coupled to the central management agent(Figure 13; 167-1, 167-2). Therefore it would have been obvious to use a redundant first management bus coupled to the central management agent in the system of Stepp, to achieve redundancy so as to prevent complete system failure in the event of the first management bus failing.

Response to Arguments

- 23. Applicant's arguments filed April 17, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 24. In response to applicant's argument that Stepp does not disclose a separate connection to the controller for each type of unit, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Stepp discloses separate connections for both the sensors(Figure 3, Interconnect between 314 and 320) and the fans(Figure 3, Interconnect between 316 and 320). These interconnects are separate from each other.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nimesh G. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-3640. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2112

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nimesh G Patel Examiner Art Unit 2112

NP July 17, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER